SYNOPSIS

Trial Identification and Protocol Summary

| Company: Tibotec Pharmaceuticals Ltd, | Drug Substance: TMC114 | |
|---|---|--|
| now Tibotec Pharmaceuticals | Trial no.: TMC114-C208 | |
| Trade Name: Darunavir | Clinical Phase: 11 | |
| Indication: HIV-1 infection | | |
| Title: An open-label trial of TMC114/RTV in HIV-1 infected | subjects who were randomized in the trials | |
| TMC114-C201, TMC114-C207 or in sponsor-selected | Phase I trials. | |
| Final analysis with cut-off date 12 March 2009. | | |
| Investigator : C. Workman, MD, AIDS Research Initiative, | Country: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Denmark, | |
| 48 Little Oxford Street, 2010 Darlinghurst, | Germany, Italy, Poland, Russian | |
| Australia | Federation, UK | |
| Trial Period: Start: 14-Jan-2005 | No. of Investigators: 16 | |
| End: 12-Mar-2009 | No. of Subjects: 51 | |
| Objectives: The primary objective of the trial was to evaluate t | he long-term safety and tolerability of DRV/rtv | |
| 600/100 mg b.i.d. The secondary objectives were to evaluate the | e antiviral activity over time and to evaluate the | |
| immunologic effect over time. | | |
| Design : Trial TMC114-C208 was an open-label trial in HIV-1 | infected subjects who were randomized in the Phase | |
| IIa trials TMC114-C201 or TMC114-C207, or in sponsor-select | ted Phase I trials, and who might derive benefit | |
| from darunavir/ritonavir (DRV [TMC114]/rtv), as judged by th | e investigator. The long-term safety and tolerability | |
| of DRV/rtv combined with an optimized background regimen (| $(OBR) (\geq 2 \text{ ARVs including approved nucleoside})$ | |
| nucleotide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors [N(t)RTIs] | , non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors | |
| [NNRTIs], and/or the fusion inhibitor enfuvirtide [ENF]) have | been assessed. In addition, antiviral activity and | |
| immunologic effect were evaluated. | | |
| Subjects who were randomized in trials TMC114-C201, TMC1 | 14-C207, or sponsor-selected Phase I trials were | |
| eligible for screening. The trial included a screening period of a | at most 4 weeks a 96- week treatment period | |
| followed by a follow-up period of 4 weeks. At baseline subject | ts started treatment with DRV/rty combined with an | |
| OBR Initially subjects started treatment with DRV/rty 400/10 | 0 mg h i d (n - 14) After the selection of the | |
| recommended dose (based on the dose-finding trials) all subject | $rac{1}{1}$ so the selection of the selection of the rates who initiated treatment with DRV/rty 400/100 | |
| mg h i d wore asked to switch to the recommended dose of DPV/rty 600/100 mg h i d and all additional subjects | | |
| (n - 37) who entered the trial started treatment immediately with | th DRV/rty $600/100$ mg b i d. All subjects received | |
| (I - 57) who entered the trial started treatment infinediately with DRV/rty orally | III DR V/ITV 000/100 IIIg 0.1.d. All subjects received | |
| | | |
| Tibotec provided follow-up treatment with DRV for all subjects who continued to benefit from treatment with | | |
| DRV/rtv until it was commercially available for the subject. Su | bjects who completed 96 weeks of treatment with | |
| DRV, had the opportunity to roll over to the extension of trial 1 | IMC114-C208, if DRV was not locally | |
| commercially available. Subjects who no longer benefitted from | n DRV/rtv therapy, as judged by the investigator, or | |
| who met 1 of the withdrawal criteria were withdrawn from the trial. | | |
| This report describes the results of the final analysis of trial TM | IC114-C208, including data from the start of the | |
| trial (14 January 2005) up to the cut-off date (last patient last visit [LPLV]) of 12 March 2009, at which time all | | |
| subjects had completed the trial or discontinued earlier. | | |
| Subject Selection | | |
| For the original part of the trial | | |
| Inclusion Criteria | | |
| 1. Subject had signed the informed consent form voluntarily. | | |
| 2. Male or female subjects aged ≥ 18 years. | | |
| 3. Previous randomization to 1 of the treatment groups (including control) in trials TMC114-C201, | | |
| TMC114-C207, or sponsor-selected Phase I trials. | | |
| 4. Subject agreed to take \geq 2 ARVs including approved N(t)RTIs, NNRTIs, and/or ENF in combination | | |
| with the trial medication (DRV/rtv) from baseline onwards. | | |
| 5. Subject could comply with the protocol requirements. | | |
| | | |

Clinical Research Report Synopsis

6. Subject's general medical condition was, in the investigator's opinion, not interfering with the assessments and the conduct of the trial.

Exclusion Criteria

- 1. Use of disallowed concomitant therapy.
- Use of other investigational drugs (except for emtricitabine [FTC], fos-amprenavir [AMP], tipranavir [TPV] [see note below], ENF and atazanavir [ATV] where approval status may vary from country to country), within 30 days prior to the investigational medication administration (i.e., baseline visit).
 Note: DRV could not be used within 14 days following the use of TPV. A minimum 14-day washout period was required in which TPV had to be either interrupted or substituted to an investigator
 - selected PI regimen until the baseline visit (Day 1).
- 3. Current or past history of active alcohol and/or drug use that in the investigator's opinion could compromise the subject's safety or compliance to the trial protocol procedures.
- 4. Pregnant or breastfeeding females.
- 5. Female subjects of childbearing potential without the use of effective birth control methods or not willing to continue practicing these birth control methods from screening until ≥30 days after the end of the treatment period.
 - *Note*: Hormonal based contraception may be not reliable when taking DRV, therefore to be eligible for this trial, women of childbearing potential either had to:
 - use a double barrier method (i.e., use a male condom with either diaphragm or cervical cap),
 - use hormonal based contraceptives in combination with a barrier contraceptive (i.e., male condom, diaphragm or cervical cap or female condom),
 - use an intrauterine device (IUD) in combination with a barrier contraceptive (i.e., male condom, diaphragm or cervical cap or female condom),
 - be non-heterosexually active, practice heterosexual abstinence or have a vasectomized partner (confirmed sterile).
 - *Note*: Women who were postmenopausal for ≥ 2 years, women with total hysterectomy and women with tubal ligation were considered of non-childbearing potential.
- 6. Any active or unstable medical condition (e.g., tuberculosis, cardiac dysfunction, pancreatitis, acute viral infections) or findings during physical examination that, in the investigator's opinion, could compromise the subject's safety.
- 7. Subject with the following laboratory abnormalities at screening as defined by the Division of AIDS (DAIDS) grading scale:
 - alanine aminotransferase (ALT)/aspartate aminotransferase (AST) > 3 x ULN;
 - any grade 3 or 4 toxicity with the following exceptions, unless clinical assessment foresaw an immediate health risk to the subject:
 - subjects with pre-existing diabetes or asymptomatic glucose elevations of grade 3 or 4;
 - subjects with asymptomatic triglyceride or cholesterol elevations of grade 3 or 4.
- 8. Subject with clinical or laboratory evidence of active liver disease, liver impairment/dysfunction or cirrhosis irrespective of liver enzyme levels.
 - *Note:* Subjects coinfected with hepatitis B or C were allowed to enter the trial if their condition was clinically stable and in case it was unlikely that they would require any form of anti-hepatitis therapy during the trial, as assessed by the investigator at screening. Subjects diagnosed with hepatitis A at screening were not allowed to take part in the trial.
- 9. Previously demonstrated clinically significant allergy or hypersensitivity to any of the excipients of the investigational medication (DRV/rtv) (per Exclusion Criterion 7, subjects with a grade 3 or 4 rash were excluded).
 - *Note*: DRV is a sulfonamide. Subjects who previously experienced a sulfonamide allergy were allowed to enter the trial. To date, no potential for cross sensitivity between drugs in the sulfonamide class and DRV has been identified.
- **Note:** Retesting of abnormal screening values that led to exclusion was allowed only once using an unscheduled visit.

Clinical Research Report Synopsis

| For the extension part of the t | rial (i.e., subjects who com | pleted the 96-weeks DRV/r | tv treatment, where |
|--|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| JKV was not yet commercial | uy avalladie) | | |
| Inclusion Criteria | × 10 | | |
| 1.A Male or female subject | $t, \ge 18$ years. | 7/ | : 1 TD (C114 C200 |
| 2.A Subject completed 96 | weeks of treatment with DRV | /rtv in the original part of tr | Tal TMC114-C208 |
| and DRV was not yet o | commercially available. | | |
| 3.A Subject had signed the | informed consent form volu | ntary. | |
| 4.A Subject could comply | with the protocol requirement | its. | |
| 5.A Subject's general medi and the conduct of the | ical condition, in the investig trial. | ator's opinion, did not interf | ere with the assessments |
| Exclusion Criteria | | | |
| 1.A Use of disallowed cond | comitant therapy. | | |
| 2.A Pregnant or breastfeedi | ing female. | | |
| 3.A Female of childbearing | potential without the use of | effective birth control metho | ods from screening until |
| \geq 30 days after the end | of the treatment period. | | |
| Note: Hormonal base | d contraception may be not r | eliable when taking DRV, th | erefore to be eligible for |
| this trial, wome | en of childbearing potential e | ither had to: | |
| • use a doub | le barrier method (i.e., use a | male condom with either dia | phragm or cervical cap), |
| • use hormor | nal based contraceptives in c | ombination with a barrier co | ntraceptive (i.e., male |
| condom, d | iaphragm or cervical cap or f | emale condom). | |
| • use an IUT |) in combination with a barri | er contraceptive (i.e., male c | ondom, diaphragm or |
| cervical ca | p or female condom). | | ondoni, andpinagin of |
| • be non-bet | erosexually active practice h | neterosexual abstinence or ha | we a vasectomized partner |
| (confirmed | sterile) | | tie u vuseetonnizeu purtier |
| Note: Women who w | ere postmenopausal for > 2 x | years women with total hyste | erectomy and women |
| with tubal ligat | ion were considered of non- | bildbearing potential | creetoning and wonnen |
| 4 A Any active or unstable | medical condition (e.g. tube | preulosis cardiac dysfunction | n pancreatitis, acute viral |
| infections) or findings | during physical examination | that in the investigator's on | inion could compromise |
| the subject's safety | during physical examination | that, in the investigator s op | linon, could compromise |
| Treatment | Daru | navir | Ritonavir |
| Concentration | 400-mg tablets | 300-mg tablets | 100-mg capsules |
| Dosage Form (TE No.) | F001 | F016 (commercial | 100-ing capsules |
| Dosage Polini (11º No.) | 1.001 | formulation) | |
| Usaga | oral | oral | oral |
| Datah Numhar | Olai | orai | ofai |
| Batch Number | See Appendix 7.1.5 | <u> </u> | |
| Dose Regimen | Original: DRV/rtv 400/100 |) mg b.1.d. | 00 1 1 1 |
| | After switch to the recomm | hended dose: DRV/rtv 600/1 | 00 mg b.1.d. |
| Duration of Treatment | 96 weeks. Subjects who completed the 96-weeks DRV/rtv treatment, had the | | |
| | opportunity to roll over to the extension phase (> 96 weeks), if DRV was not | | eeks), if DRV was not |
| | locally commercially available. | | |
| Duration of Trial | Screening: ≤ 4 weeks; Treatment period: 96 weeks (> 96 weeks for subjects in | | |
| | the extension phase); Follow-up (FU): 4 weeks | | |
| Disallowed Medication | Antiretroviral medication: | | |
| - From baseline until the end of the treatment period: all PIs other than DRV/rtv, | | | |
| investigational N(t)RTIs, delavirdine, and investigational NNRTIs | | | |
| 1 | Other disallowed medication (non-ARV): | | |
| - From screening until the end of the treatment period: amphetamines and | | | |
| amphetamine derivatives, all products containing Hypericum perforatum (St | | | |
| | John's Wort), rifampin, rifapentine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, carbamazepine, | | |
| | modafinil and investigat | ional vaccines | , |
| | | | |

Clinical Research Report Synopsis

| | From baseline until the end of the treatment period: systemic use of ketoconazole and itraconazole at > 200 mg/day, amiodarone, bepridil, flecainide, propafenone, quinidine, systemic lidocaine, mexiletine, disopyramide, astemizole, terfenadine, ergot derivatives (dihydroergotamine, ergonovine [ergometrine], ergotamine, methylergonovine), cisapride, pimozide, midazolam, triazolam, clonazepam, lovastatin, simvastatin, pravastatin, cholestyramine and colestipol, telithromycin, cyclosporine, tacrolimus, rapamycin, serolimus, calcium channel blockers (e.g., felodipine, nifedipine, nicardipine, amlodipine, verapamil etc.), meperidine (pethidine), and dexamethasone (topical applications allowed) |
|---------------------------|--|
| Assessments | |
| Antiviral Activity | |
| Plasma Viral Load | Samples for plasma viral load determinations were taken: |
| | - at screening and baseline, |
| | - at weeks $2, 4, 8, 12, 10, 20, 24, 52, 40, 48, 00, 72, 84, and 90 (or early with drawal)$ |
| | - at both FU visits |
| | For subjects entering the extension phase (> 96 weeks): |
| | - at the roll-over visit. |
| | - at Week 108 and every 12 weeks thereafter (or early withdrawal) |
| Immunology | Samples for immunology assessment were taken: |
| | - at screening and baseline, |
| | - at Weeks 4, 8, 12, 20, 32, 40, 48, 60, 72, 84, and 96 (or early withdrawal). |
| | For subjects entering the extension phase (> 96 weeks) (only CD4 cell count): |
| | - at the roll-over visit, |
| | - at Week 108 and every 12 weeks thereafter (or early withdrawal) |
| Resistance Determinations | Samples for phenotype and genotype determinations were taken: |
| | - at screening and baseline, |
| | - at Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 32, 40, 48, 60, 72, 84, and 96 (or early |
| | withdrawal), |
| | - at both FU visits. |
| | Analysis of samples taken at Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 32, 40, 60, 72, 84, and at |
| | both FU visits (1 and 4 weeks after last intake of trial medication) depended on the indeement of the Protocol Virologist based on UIV 1 plasma virol load |
| | A paripharal blood monopulater calls (DPMC) semple was taken at baseling and |
| | Week 96 (or early withdrawal) |
| Safety | |
| Adverse Events | Adverse events (AEs) and HIV-related events were checked at every visit and |
| | reported from screening onwards until the last trial-related activity. |

Clinical Research Report Synopsis

| Clinical Laboratory | Samples for hematology, biochemistry (fasted), and coagulation testing were |
|------------------------|---|
| | taken at every visit, i.e., |
| | - at screening and baseline, |
| | - at Weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 32, 40, 48, 60, 72, 84, and 96 (or early |
| | withdrawal). |
| | - at both FIL visits |
| | At Week 6 samples were only taken for subjects with sulfonamide allergy: |
| | assing the subject of the subject with substantial and get |
| | An herefitie A. P. and C test was performed at screening and thereafter only upon |
| | An nepatris A, B and C test was performed at screening and therearter only upon |
| | request of the investigator if clinically indicated. |
| | Urinalysis was performed: |
| | - at screening and baseline, |
| | - at Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 32, 40, 48, 60, 72, 84, and 96 (or early |
| | withdrawal). |
| | - A urine pregnancy test was also performed at these visits, except at screening |
| | and Week 2 (for women of childbearing potential only). |
| | For subjects entering the extension phase (> 96 weeks): |
| | - A pregnancy test (urine or serum, depending on the local laboratory facilities) |
| | was performed at the roll-over visit, Week 108 and every 12 weeks thereafter |
| | (or early withdrawal). |
| Cardiovascular Safety | Vital signs (pulse and blood pressure [BP]) were assessed: |
| 5 | - at screening and baseline. |
| | - at Weeks 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 32, 40, 48, 60, 72, 84, and 96 (or early |
| | withdrawal) |
| | Central electrocardiogram (ECG) readings were performed |
| | at screening and baseline |
| | at Weeks 4 12 24 48 72 and 96 (or early withdrawal) |
| Division Examination | - at weeks 4, 12, 24, 46, 72, and 90 (of early withdrawar). |
| Filysical Examination | ritysical examination was performed. |
| | - at screening and basenne, |
| A .1 | - at weeks 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 (or early withdrawal). |
| Anthropometric | Height was measured at screening. |
| Measurements | Weight, and waist and hip circumference were determined: |
| | - at screening and baseline, |
| | - at Weeks 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 24, 32, 40, 48, 60, 72, 84, and 96 (or early |
| | withdrawal). |
| Pharmacokinetics | The time points of sample collection were: |
| | - at Weeks 4, 12, 24, 48, 72, and 96 (or early withdrawal). |
| | Samples were taken and were only analyzed upon the sponsor's specific request. |
| | In case of hepatic toxicity (grade 3 or 4 elevations in liver function tests or |
| | bilirubin), an extra pharmacokinetic sample was taken at the time of the observed |
| | toxicity. |
| Statistical Methods | Descriptive statistics, frequency tabulations, intent-to-treat analysis. Wilcoxon |
| Studisticul filotitous | (matched-nairs) signed-ranks test |

CONFIDENTIAL Clinical Research Report Synopsis

| Baseline Characteristics - Subject Disposition | DRV/rty ^a |
|---|--|
| Number of Subjects Entered (M/E) | 51 (45/6) |
| A gay madian (ranga) Arra | 42.0 (26: 64) |
| Age. meutan (tange), yis | 42.0 (20, 04) |
| Courses (White | 47 (02 2) |
| Caucasian/ white | 47 (92.2) |
| Allspanic | 5(3.9) |
| Plasma viral load: maan (SD) log_copies/mI | 1 (2.0) 2 56 (1 554) |
| $CD4$ coll counti median (range) v 10^6 colle/IIL | 222 (7: 1102) |
| Time since UIV infection discussion and in (mana) mean | 255 (7, 1195) |
| Time since HTV infection diagnosis: median (range), years | 12.1 (2; 22) |
| CDC Class at time of screening | 0 (17 () |
| A | 9 (17.6) |
| B | 20 (39.2) |
| | 22 (43.1) |
| Hepatitis B or C confection status | |
| Confected | 2 (3.9) |
| Previous ARV experience, n (%) | |
| $PI: \geq 2$ | 44 (86.3) |
| $NNRTI : \geq 1$ | 40 (78.4) |
| NRTI: ≥ 4 | 45 (88.2) |
| Fusion Inhibitor ^b : 1 | 7 (13.7) |
| ARVs in the OBR (i.e., initial OBR, defined as therapy | Most frequently used NRTIs were lamivudine |
| taken 7 days after initiation of DRV/rtv treatment) | (72.5%) and tenofovir (62.7%); 15 subjects (29.4%) |
| | used ENF (of these subjects, 10 [19.6%] were |
| | considered naïve to ENF; 1 subject used an NNRTI. |
| Discontinuations - Reason, n (%) | 7 (13.7) |
| AE/HIV-related event | $2(3.9)^{c}$ |
| Subject withdrew consent | 2 (3.9) |
| Other | 2 (3.9) |
| Subject non-compliant | 1 (2.0) |

Main Features of the Subject Sample and Summary of the Results

^a The DRV/rtv group included all subjects rolling over from a DRV/rtv or control arm in the original trial TMC114-C201 (10 subjects), trial TMC114-C207 (17 subjects), or sponsor-selected Phase I trials (24 subjects from TMC114-C151). Some of the subjects (14 out of 51) started treatment in TMC114-C208 with DRV/rtv 400/100 mg b.i.d. and, except for 1 subject who had discontinued, later switched to the recommended dose of DRV/rtv 600/100 mg b.i.d. The other 37 subjects started immediately at the recommended dose.

^b ENF was the only fusion inhibitor used.

^c 2 discontinuations due to AE were subjects who died, see below.

Efficacy

The antiviral activity results of this trial show that the recommended dose of DRV/rtv 600/100 mg b.i.d. coadministered with an OBR was associated with a clinically relevant sustained virologic response and immunologic improvement over 96 weeks of treatment.

| Parameters | Time Point | Ν | DRV/rtv |
|---|-------------------|----|---------------|
| Virologic response: Viral load < 50 copies/mL, n (%) | Week 24 | 50 | 38 (76.0) |
| (ITT – TLOVR [non-VF censored]) | Week 48 | 49 | 40 (81.6) |
| | Week 96 | 46 | 37 (80.4) |
| Change versus baseline in log ₁₀ viral load (copies/mL), mean (SE) | Week 24 | 49 | -1.48 (0.195) |
| (ITT - NC = F [non-VF censored]) | Week 48 | 48 | -1.44 (0.215) |
| | Week 96 | 45 | -1.38 (0.228) |
| Change versus baseline in CD4 cell count (x $10^6/L$), mean (SE) | Week 20 | 49 | 96 (17.4) |
| (ITT - NC = F [non-VF censored]) | Week 48 | 48 | 151 (20.3) |
| | Week 96 | 45 | 139 (23.4) |

Clinical Research Report Synopsis

| Safety | DRV/rtv |
|--|--|
| (n = number of subjects with data) | N = 51 |
| Mean (SD) Exposure (Weeks) | 119.3 (37.63) |
| Adverse Events | |
| Most frequently reported AEs (in $> 15\%$), n (%) | |
| Back pain | 11 (21.6) |
| Headache | 10 (19.6) |
| Injection site reaction (associated with ENF | 10 (19.6) |
| adminstration) | |
| Cough | 9 (17.6) |
| Nasopharyngitis | 9 (17.6) |
| Diarrhea | 8 (15.7) |
| Oral candidiasis | 8 (15.7) |
| Pain in extremity | 8 (15.7) |
| n (%) with 1 or more AEs | 49 (96.1) |
| n (%) of deaths | $2(3.9)^{a}$ |
| n (%) with 1 or more other SAEs | $6 (11.8)^{a}$ |
| n (%) of treatment discontinued due to AEs | $2(3.9)^{a}$ |
| n (%) with 1 or more grade 3 or 4 AEs | $16(31.4)^{a}$ |
| ^a The 2 cases of death were considered related to the u | nderlying HIV disease. There were no other |
| discontinuations due to an AE(s) with onset during the | e treatment period. All SAEs were reported in |
| only 1 subject. The majority of individual grade 3 or | 4 AEs were reported in ≤ 1 subject. |
| Clinical Laboratory Tests | Most graded laboratory abnormalities were grade 1 |
| | or 2 in severity. The most common grade 3 or 4 |
| | abnormalities related to laboratory parameters of |
| | interest were increased total cholesterol (15.7%), |
| | LDL cholesterol (23.5%), lipase and amylase |
| | (7.8% each). |
| Cardiovascular Safety | No clinically relevant changes over time versus baseline |
| | were observed for any of the cardiovascular parameters. |
| | No treatment-emergent QTc values > 500 ms were |
| | observed, and no QTcF increases by > 60 ms. Two |
| | subjects had a QTcB increase by > 60 ms (resulting |
| | in an abnormal value of 468 ms and 469 ms, |
| | respectively). The most common grade 2 vital sign |
| | abnormality was increased standing DBP (23.5%), all |
| | other grade 2 vital sign abnormalities were observed |
| | in at most 11.8% subjects. |
| Other Safety Observations | A small increase in mean weight versus baseline was |
| | observed at Week 24 (+ 1.4 kg), which was sustained up |
| | to Week 96 (+ 1.2 kg). No clinically relevant changes |
| | versus baseline in BMI or hip- and waist circumference, |
| | or physical examinations were observed. |

Conclusions

The antiviral activity and immunologic effects observed in trial TMC114-C208 showed that DRV/rtv 600/100 mg b.i.d. with an OBR was effective both clinically and virologically in this population of treatment-experienced HIV-1 infected subjects. The efficacy results demonstrated a sustained viral load reduction and increase in CD4 cell count over a period of 96 weeks.

The evaluation of the safety data in trial TMC114-C208 confirmed the long-term safety and tolerability of DRV/rtv 600/100 mg b.i.d in this patient population. The overall safety profile was similar to that observed in other (controlled) trials with DRV/rtv and published data.

Disclaimer

Information in this posting shall not be considered to be a claim for any marketed product. Some information in this posting may differ from, or not be included in, the approved labeling for the product. Please refer to the full prescribing information for indications and proper use of the product.