SYNOPSIS

Trial identification and protocol summary

	ANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA N.V. duct: Risperdal [®]	
-	-	
-	lient: risperidone (R064766)	
	parison of risperidone and haloperidol	Trial No.: CR006013.
for prevention	n of relapse in subjects with	Clinical phase: III
schizophrenia	a and schizoaffective disorder	
Investigator:	Multicenter	Country: USA
Reference:	JRF, Clinical Research Report CR006013, Febr	ruary 2000 (N 147502)
Trial period:	Start: 21 May 1996	No. of investigators: 44
_	End: 17 September 1998	No. of subjects entered: 397
	-	No. of subjects randomized: 395
Trial design:	that of haloperidol in subjects with schizophrenia This was a long-term, randomized, double-blind, renia and schizoaffective disorder.	
or schizoaffect schizoaffective completed cris	n criteria : Male or female subjects between 18 a ive disorder (DSM-IV) criteria, with a document e disorder; discharged from an inpatient psychiat is management intervention, or stayed in a psychours within the past 24 months; received a stabl o trial entry.	ted 1-year history of schizophrenia or tric unit, had a partial hospitalization, hiatric hospital emergency room holding area
Methodology: treatment period increased to 4r	The study was composed of two phases: a 1-wo od. During the baseline period, the doses of rispe nilligrams (mg)/day for risperidone and 10mg/da age adjustments (risperidone 2-8mg/day; haloper	eridone and haloperidol were gradually ay for haloperidol. During the first 4 weeks of

clinical evaluations of the patient. The double-blind treatment continued until the last patient entering the study completed 1 year of treatment or for a maximum of 112 weeks. **Number of subjects (planned and analyzed):** The planned sample size was 414 subjects, and 395 were randomized and treated and included in the safety analyses. For efficacy, 365 subjects were included in the

analyses.

Criteria for Evaluation:

<u>Efficacy</u>: The primary efficacy assessment was based on the time to relapse, which was defined by six criteria that indicated the subject's illness was no longer under control (e.g., psychiatric hospitalization). <u>Safety</u>: Safety was evaluated on the basis of treatment-emergent adverse events (AEs), clinical laboratory tests, measurements of vital signs and body weight, physical examination and electrocardiogram (ECG) findings, and the Extrapyramidal Symptoms Rating Scale (ESRS).

JRF Clinical Research Report -- CR006013 Trial identification and protocol summary (continued)

Treatment									
Form - dosing route			matching tablets - oral						
RIS 1 mg		RIS	2 mg	HAL 2 mg	HAL	5 mg			
95E05/F5		96A09/F13	96J16/F13	95A19/F56	95L12/F63	96J17/F63			
May 1998		Jan. 1999	Oct. 1998	Jan. 2000	Dec. 1998	Oct. 1999			
Dosage			2 to 8 mg/day risperidone, 5 to 20 mg/day haloperidol						
Duration of treatment			maximum 2 years						
	RIS 1 95E0 May	RIS 1 mg 95E05/F5 May 1998 2 to 3	RIS 1 mg RIS 95E05/F5 96A09/F13 May 1998 Jan. 1999 2 to 8 mg/day risperior	RIS 1 mg RIS 2 mg 95E05/F5 96A09/F13 96J16/F13 May 1998 Jan. 1999 Oct. 1998 2 to 8 mg/day risperione, 5 to 20 m	RIS 1 mg RIS 2 mg HAL 2 mg 95E05/F5 96A09/F13 96J16/F13 95A19/F56 May 1998 Jan. 1999 Oct. 1998 Jan. 2000 2 to 8 mg/day risperidone, 5 to 20 mg/day haloperido	RIS 1 mg RIS 2 mg HAL 2 mg HAL 95E05/F5 96A09/F13 96J16/F13 95A19/F56 95L12/F63 May 1998 Jan. 1999 Oct. 1998 Jan. 2000 Dec. 1998 2 to 8 mg/day risperidone, 5 to 20 mg/day haloperidol			

Statistical methods: Descriptive statistics were performed for the demographic data and Baseline characteristics. For continuous and ordinal data (ie, age, height, weight, etc.), the 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with factors for treatment, investigator and sex were applied. The Van Elteren test controlling for investigator and sex was to be applied if the data were not normal. For nominal categorical data (sex, race, domiciliary status, DSM IV axis I), the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test for general association controlling for investigator and sex was performed. For the primary efficacy parameter, stratified logrank test controlling for investigator and sex were performed. For relapse rate, CMH test was used. For continuous variables, treatment effects were examined by means of analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with factors of treatment, sex, investigator, and Baseline values as covariate. A paired t-test was performed for within-group comparison.

Summary of the results:

Efficacy (dataset without 1 site)	R	IS	HAL		
Primary variable	N=	=177	N=188		
- Time to relapse (days)	452.2	23 (SE 17.68)	391.33 (SE 21.83)		
Secondary variables					
- Number of patients with psychotic relapse					
- at 1 year	41 (23	5.2%) **	65 (34.6%)		
- at Endpoint	45 (25	5.4%) **	75 (39.9%)		
- PANSS, change from Baseline to Endpoint	BL	mean	BL	mean	
		change		change	
- Total PANSS score	65.06	-3.15***	67.38	1.79	
 Positive symptoms 	18.58	-1.56**	19.15	-0.24	
- Negative symptoms	16.98	-0.53**	17.80	0.77	
- Disorganized thoughts	14.97	-0.79**	15.38	0.17	
- Uncontrolled hostility/excitement	6.04	0.29	6.26	0.73	
- Anxiety/depression factor	8.45	-0.52**	8.76	0.24	
- CGI-C, change from BL to Endpoint					
- Very much improvement	12 (12 (6.9%)***		8(4.3%)	
- Much improvement	41 (23	. ,	25 (13.4%)		
- Minimum improvement	50 (28	8.9%)	35 (18.7%)		
- Unchanged	35 (20	0.2%)	59 (3 1.6%)		

Levels of significance: * p<= ~0.05; **p<= ~0.01, ***p<= ~0.001 positive for RIS

JRF Clinical Research Report -- CR006013

Safety (detaget with all sites)		,		RIS N ⁼ 192		HAL N ⁼ 203			
(dataset with all sites)			n		%			%	
Adverse events (AE)			171	89		184	9	0.6	
Serious Adverse Events	49	25		62		0.5			
Most frequently reported AE									
- insomnia	47	24	.5	56	2	7.6			
z psychosis			36	18		54		6.6	
somnolence			24	12		48		3.6	
_ agitation			24	12		40		9.7	
headache			35	10		34		6.7	
dizziness			20	8.3		17	8.4		
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~				RIS			HAL		
				=192		N=203			
Most frequently reported AE	(contin	ued) (n	%		n %		6	
_ extrapyramidal symptoms			16		.3	30		4.8	
_ hypertonia					.7	23	1	1.3	
_ tremor	• •				.2	22	1	0.8	
₂ hyperkinesia	8	4	.2	40	1	19.7			
_ injury	21	10	.9	19	9.4				
vomiting	15	7	.8	22	1	0.8			
rhinitis			29	15	.1	22	1	0.8	
No. of patients with 1 or more A	171			184					
No. of patient deaths	1			0					
No. of patients with 1 or more ot	No. of patients with 1 or more other serious AE					62			
No. of patients with treatment ste	opped d	ue to AE	44			71			
		R	IS			HAL			
ESRS, change	n	BL	mean	SE	n	BL	mean	SE	
			change				change		
- Total	187	4.35	-0.71**	0.36	201	4.99	0.45	0.47	
- Questionnaire	187	1.69	-0.12**	0.20	202	1.97	0.40	0.24	
- Parkinsonism	187	3.07	-0.47***	0.26	201	3.50	0.64	0.35	
- Dystonia	187	0.12	-0.01	0.04	202	0.15	-0.04	0.05	
- Dyskinesic movements	187	1.15	-0.24	0.13	202	1.33	-0.14	0.19	
- Hyperkinesia	187	0.85	-0.17** -0.29***	0.11	202	1.05 2.22	0.13	0.16	
- Hypokinesia	187	2.03		0.17	202 n		0.46	0.23	
		ean change 2.36***	an change SE 2.36*** 0.60		0		SE 0.52		
Body weight (kg) changes1662BL to Endpoint2		2.30	0.00	182	-	0.50	0.52		
~ECG	No clinically relevant changes from BL to Endpoint or between					ween			
	treatment groups								
- Vital signs	No clinically relevant changes from BL to Endpoint or between							tween	
	treatment groups								
 Clinical laboratory 	- Clinical laboratory No clinically re				relevant changes from BL to Endpoint or between				
		0.01 ***		ment gro	oups				

Levels of significance: * p<= 0.05; **p<= -0.01, ***p<= -0.001

Conclusions

Risperidone was statistically significantly more effective than haloperidol in maintaining clinical improvement during continuing therapy in adult outpatients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Treatment with risperidone was well tolerated and associated with less EPS than haloperidol. Except for weight gain, there were no consistent changes or clinically relevant abnormalities in the vital signs, ECG data, or clinical laboratory results with risperidone treatment.

Information in this posting should not be viewed as any claim for any marketed product. Some information in the posting may not be included in the approved labeling for the product. Please refer to the full prescribing information for proper use of the product as indicated.

Disclaimer

Information in this posting shall not be considered to be a claim for any marketed product. Some information in this posting may differ from, or not be included in, the approved labeling for the product. Please refer to the full prescribing information for indications and proper use of the product.