SYNOPSIS

NAME OF SPONSOR/COMPANY:	INDIVIDUAL STUDY TABLE	(FOR NATIONAL
The R.W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute	REFERRING TO PART OF THE DOSSIER	AUTHORITY USE ONLY)
NAME OF FINISHED PRODUCT:	@@7000fad8004b3c0	
TOPAMAX [®] (topiramate)		
NAME OF ACTIVE INGREDIENT(S):		
2,3:4,5-bis-0-(1-methylethylidene)-β-D- fructopyranose sulfamate		
Protocol No.: CR005464		
Title of Study: A Double-Blind Trial of Top	piramate in Subjects with Lennox-Gasta	ut Syndrome
Investigators: 12 investigators		
Study Centre(s): 12 study centers		
Publication (Reference): None		
Studied Period (years): 27 July 1993 to 11 A	April 1996	Phase of development: 3
Objectives : The objective of this study was t subjects with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome.	o evaluate the safety and efficacy of to	piramate as adjunctive therapy in
therapy in subjects with Lennox-Gastaut syn duration) and a double-blind treatment phas period, the number and type of seizures that one or two antiepileptic drugs (AEDs). The trial were randomized, in equal proportions a on their background AED regimen. Efficace obtained from seizure diaries maintained by on a parental global evaluation of improve events, clinical laboratory tests, vital sign examinations, and neurologic examinations. level of alertness, level of interaction with th and responsiveness to verbal requests. In ad to assess potential effects of topiramate on ba Number of Subjects (planned and analyzed) Ninety-eight subjects entered the double-blind 48 were randomly assigned to receive topir efficacy and safety. Diagnosis and Main Criteria for Inclusion :	e (approximately 11 weeks in duratic occurred were monitored while subje ose subjects who elected to participate at each center, to receive either topirar y was evaluated based on data regar each subject, parent, or legal guardiar ment in seizure severity. Safety wa measurements, body weights, elect Parents completed evaluations of the he environment, ability to perform the dition, plasma AED concentrations we ackground AEDs.): The planned sample size for this triat d phase of the study; 50 were randoml amate. All 98 subjects were included	n). During the 4-week baseline cts received a constant dosage of in the double-blind phase of the mate or placebo while continuing ding seizure type and frequency n for the duration of the trial and s evaluated by reported adverse rocardiograms (ECGs), physical subject's mental status including e activities of daily living (ADL), ere measured at periodic intervals al was approximately 80 subjects. y assigned to receive placebo and in the intent-to-treat analyses of
Diagnosis and Main Criteria for Inclusion: Gastaut syndrome characterized by EEG r seizures and drop attacks (i.e., tonic-atonic tonic-clonic, myoclonic, and minor-motor. S before baseline.	ecordings showing slow spike-and-w seizures) were required among other	ave patterns. Atypical absence seizure types that could include
Test Product, Dose and Mode of Administr mg (Batches R4561, R5509, and R5512) tab b.i.d. in equal oral doses.		
Duration of Treatment : The total duration period and an eight-week maintenance period doses.		
Reference Therapy, Dose and Mode of Adu 100 mg (Batch R4567) matching tablets.		
Criteria for Evaluation : <u>Efficacy</u> : The effi based on a statistically significant between- two variables: i) percent reduction in the a variable consisting of percent reduction in d improvement in seizure severity.	group difference (topiramate vs. place average monthly seizure rate for all	bo) with respect to either one of seizure types or ii) a compound

SYNOPSIS (Continued)

NAME OF SPONSOR/COMPANY: The R.W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute	INDIVIDUAL STUDY TABLE REFERRING TO PART OF THE DOSSIER	(FOR NATIONAL AUTHORITY USE ONLY)
NAME OF FINISHED PRODUCT:	@@7000fad8004b3c1	
TOPAMAX [®] (topiramate)		
NAME OF ACTIVE INGREDIENT(S):		
2,3:4,5-bis-0-(1-methylethylidene)-β-D- fructopyranose sulfamate		

Criteria for Evaluation (continued): Safety: Safety was evaluated by reported adverse events, clinical laboratory tests, vital sign measurements, body weights, electrocardiograms (ECGs), physical examinations, neurologic examinations, and parental evaluations of the subject's mental status.

Statistical Methods: A two-way (with treatment and investigator as factors) analysis of variance on ranks was used to analyze treatment group differences in percent reduction from baseline seizure rate. The parental global evaluation of improvement in seizure severity was analyzed by exact Wilcoxon rank-sum tests with and without stratification by center.

SUMMARY - CONCLUSIONS

EFFICACY RESULTS: The results of the intent-to-treat analysis of primary and secondary efficacy variables are presented in the following table:

Summary of the Efficacy Results for the Double-Blind Phase
(All Dandomized Subjects: Protocol CD005464)

Efficacy Assessment	Placebo	TPM	p-value
Primary Variables			
EITHER			
1) percent reduction in seizure rate for <u>all seizures</u>	8.8	20.6	0.430 ^c
OR			
2) each component of a compound variable consisting of:			
• percent reduction in <u>drop attacks</u>	-5.1	14.8	0.041 ^c
AND			
• parental global evaluation of seizure severity ^a	28	52	0.037 ^d
1 0 9			0.059 ^e
Secondary Variables			
Percent treatment responders: ^b			
All seizures	16	17	0.930^{f}
Drop attacks (tonic-atonic seizures)	14	28	0.071^{f}

Percent of subjects who were minimally, much, or very much improved from baseline.

A treatment responder is defined as a subject whose seizure rate was reduced by 50% or more during the double-blind phase.

TPM vs.placebo; two factor (treatment center) ANOVA on ranks.

TPM vs. placebo; Wilcoxon-rank sum test unstratified.

TPM vs. placebo; Wilcoxon-rank sum test stratified by center.

TPM vs. placebo; Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test stratified by center.

For all seizure types combined, the median percent reduction from baseline in the average monthly seizure rate was 20.6% for subjects in the topiramate group and 8.8% for the placebo group; this difference was not statistically significant. The median percent reduction in average monthly rate for drop attacks - the first component of the compound efficacy variable - was 14.8% for topiramate compared with an increase in average monthly seizure rate of 5.1% for placebo (p=0.041). The second component of the compound efficacy variable – the parental global evaluation of improvement in seizure severity - also favored topiramate over placebo; 52% of subjects in the topiramate group experienced an improvement in the severity of their seizures compared with 28% of subjects in the placebo group. The p-value for this comparison was 0.037 or 0.059 depending on the method of analysis. The improvement in both components of the compound variable demonstrates the effectiveness of topiramate in reducing the severity of the seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome and the number of drop attacks, the most severe seizure type associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome.

For all seizures, the percentage of treatment responders (i.e., subjects achieving a 50% or greater seizure rate reduction) was the same for topiramate- and placebo-treated subjects; 17% of topiramate-treated and 16% of placebo-treated subjects were treatment responders. For drop attacks, a greater number of subjects in the topiramate group (28%) achieved a 50% or greater reduction in drop attacks than in the placebo group (14%).

SYNOPSIS (Continued)

NAME OF SPONSOR/COMPANY: The R.W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute NAME OF FINISHED PRODUCT: TOPAMAX [®] (topiramate) NAME OF ACTIVE INGREDIENT(S): 2,3:4,5-bis-0-(1-methylethylidene)-β-D-	INDIVIDUAL STUDY TABLE REFERRING TO PART OF THE DOSSIER @@7000fad8004b3c2	(FOR NATIONAL AUTHORITY USE ONLY)
2,3:4,5-bis-0-(1-methylethylidene)-β-D- fructopyranose sulfamate		

SUMMARY - CONCLUSIONS (Continued)

<u>EFFICACY RESULTS (Continued):</u> Plasma concentrations of concomitant AEDs were generally comparable over time between topiramate- and placebo-treated subjects. These results indicate that the topiramate effects observed in this study were not mediated through changes in plasma concentrations of concomitant AEDs.

<u>SAFETY RESULTS</u>: Topiramate dosages up to 6 mg/kg per day were well-tolerated. The most common adverse events associated with topiramate were neuropsychiatric in nature and included somnolence, anorexia, nervousness, personality disorder, fatigue, and dizziness. Weight decrease occurred in five (10%) subjects in the topiramate group and none in the placebo group.

Common ^a Treatment-Emergent Neuropsychiatric Adverse Events	
(All Randomized Subjects; Protocol CR005464)	

Body System/	Placebo (N=50)		Topiramate (N=48)	
Preferred Term	No.	%	No	%
Somnolence	11	22	20	42
Anorexia	10	20	19	40
Nervousness	5	10	10	21
Personality Disorder (Behavior problems)	5	10	10	21
Fatigue	2	4	9	19
Insomnia	4	8	5	10
Dizziness	0	0	5	10

Treatment-emergent neuropsychiatric adverse events reported by 10% or more subjects in either treatment group.

There were no deaths during the study and none of the subjects discontinued prematurely due to an adverse event. Three topiramate-treated subjects were hospitalized during the study; none of the events leading to hospitalization (aggravated convulsions; gastroesophageal reflux; and pneumonia, otitis media, sinusitis, and vomiting) were considered by the investigators to be related to study medication.

Compared with placebo, topiramate had no effect on the subjects' mental status in terms of improvement in alertness, level of interaction with the environment, ability to perform the activities of daily living, and responsiveness to verbal requests.

There were 2 (4%) placebo-treated subjects and 8 (17%) topiramate-treated subjects with markedly elevated lymphocytes. In general, markedly elevated lymphocytes in topiramate-treated subjects represented single, transient occurrences and were associated with intercurrent infections (e.g., URTI, bronchitis, sinusitis). There were no clinically relevant changes in vital signs, neurologic or physical examination findings, or ECGs.

<u>CONCLUSION</u>: Topiramate dosages of approximately 6 mg/kg per day were well-tolerated when administered to subjects with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome and were effective in reducing the severity of seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome and the number of drop attacks (tonic-atonic seizures), the most severe seizure type associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome.

Date of the report: 27 May 1997

Information in this posting should not be viewed as any claim for any marketed product. Some information in the posting may not be included in the approved labeling for the product. Please refer to the full prescribing information for proper use of the product as indicated.

Disclaimer

Information in this posting shall not be considered to be a claim for any marketed product. Some information in this posting may differ from, or not be included in, the approved labeling for the product. Please refer to the full prescribing information for indications and proper use of the product.