

<p>DRUG PRODUCT</p> <p>DRUG SUBSTANCE(S) Budesonide</p> <p>DOCUMENT NO. SD-004-CR-0210</p> <p>VERSION NO. 01</p> <p>STUDY CODE SD-004-0210</p> <p>DATE 15 December, 2000</p>	<p><b>Synopsis</b></p> <p>REFERRING TO PART OF THE DOSSIER</p>	<p>(FOR NATIONAL AUTHORITY USE ONLY)</p>
--	--	--

FINAL

---

Efficacy and safety of Pulmicort® (budesonide) via Turbuhaler®, new version, in corticosteroid-using adult asthmatic patients

---

**INVESTIGATOR**

**STUDY CENTRE(S)**

Country:	Center numbers:
Czech Republic	101-107
France	201-213
Israel	301-305
Poland	401-434
Hungary	501-504
South-Africa	601-610

**PUBLICATION (REFERENCE)**

N/A

**STUDY PERIOD**

- DATE OF FIRST PATIENT ENROLLED
- DATE OF LAST PATIENT COMPLETED

November 1, 1999  
June 21, 2000

**PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT**

Phase IIIA

**OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective of the study was to compare the efficacy of 80 µg and 480 µg delivered dose b.i.d. budesonide (BUD) via Turbuhaler® new version (NV) with 100 µg and 600 µg metered dose b.i.d. BUD Turbuhaler current version (CV) in inhaled corticosteroid-using, adult asthmatic patients.

The secondary objective was to determine the safety of the doses of budesonide used in this study.

### STUDY DESIGN

The study was designed as a double-dummy, double-blind, randomised, parallel group, multicentre study in inhaled corticosteroid-treated adult patients with moderate to severe asthma. After a two week run-in period all patients that fulfilled all the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria were randomised into a 12-week treatment period where they received either 80 or 480  $\mu\text{g}$  of budesonide b.i.d via Turbuhaler new version or 100 or 600  $\mu\text{g}$  budesonide b.i.d. via Turbuhaler current version.

### DIAGNOSIS AND MAIN CRITERIA FOR INCLUSION/EXCLUSION

Major inclusion criteria:

- An initial diagnosis of asthma
- A history of being prescribed inhaled steroids at least 6 months before visit 1. The daily inhaled dose had to be within the range of 500 - 1200  $\mu\text{g}$  (BUD Turbuhaler or fluticasone propionate any inhalation device) or 750 - 1600  $\mu\text{g}$  of other inhaled steroids. The dose had to be fixed for at least the last 30 days prior to visit 1
- FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) before inhalation of  $\beta_2$ -agonist at visit 1 had to be 50-80% of predicted normal value

Randomisation criteria:

- Morning PEF data recorded on at least 7 of the last 10 days of the run-in period
- FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) before inhalation of  $\beta_2$ -agonist had to be 50-80% of predicted normal value or within 10% of the absolute FEV<sub>1</sub>-value (L) at visit 1
- A reversible airway obstruction of 200 mL and  $\geq 12\%$  of basal FEV<sub>1</sub>-value

Major exclusion criteria:

- Patients with unstable asthma as defined by:
  - a. hospitalization or emergency room treatment for uncontrolled asthma during the last 6 months prior to visit 1, or
  - b. use of oral or parenteral GCS during the last 60 days prior to visit 1
- Current or previous tobacco smokers with a history of  $\geq 10$  pack-years

Synopsis Document No. SD-004-CR-0210 Study code SD-004-0210	(For national authority use only)
---	-----------------------------------

**TEST AND COMPARATOR PRODUCTS, BATCH NUMBER, DOSAGE AND MODE OF ADMINISTRATION**

Treatment (daily doses)	Turbuhaler No. 1	Turbuhaler No. 2	Turbuhaler No. 3	Turbuhaler No. 4	Batch No.
160 µg BUD NV	1 x 80 µg NV	1 x placebo NV	1 x placebo CV	1 x placebo CV	BUD NV 80: ZI 13/1 Placebo NV: AH 11/1 Placebo CV: AD 32
960 µg BUD NV	1 x 320 µg NV	1 x 160 µg NV	1 x placebo CV	1 x placebo CV	BUD NV 160: ZI 21/1 BUD NV 320: ZI 33/1 Placebo CV: AD 32
200 µg BUD CV	1 x placebo NV	1 x placebo NV	1 x 100 µg CV	1 x placebo CV	BUD 100 CV: AB 364 Placebo NV: AH 11/1 Placebo CV: AD 32
1200 µg BUD CV	1 x placebo NV	1 x placebo NV	1 x 400 µg CV	1 x 200 µg CV	BUD CV 200: AD 1102 BUD CV 400: AA 640 Placebo NV: AH 11/1

**DURATION OF TREATMENT**

The run-in period between visits 1 and 2 was two weeks long. The treatment period between visits 2 and 5 was 12 weeks long with approximately four weeks between visits. The total number of treatment days during the randomised period was not allowed to exceed 90 days.

**MAIN VARIABLE(S):**

- **EFFICACY**
  - Primary: morning PEF (peak expiratory flow) registered daily in diary cards
  - Secondary: FEV<sub>1</sub> (forced expiratory volume in one second), FVC (forced vital capacity), evening PEF, asthma symptoms and β<sub>2</sub>-agonist usage
- **SYSTEMIC ACTIVITY**
  - Plasma-cortisol measurements
- **SAFETY**

AE (Adverse Event) assessment

**STATISTICAL METHODS**

An Intention-To-Treat type of analysis was used with all available data. The primary endpoint, change from baseline to end of treatment, was analysed by an analysis of variance model with fixed factors treatment and country. For diary variables, baseline was the mean during the last 14 days of run-in and end of treatment was the mean during the last 60 days of treatment period. For spirometric variables, baseline was the value measured at visit 2 and end of treatment was the mean value from visits 3-5.

THIS IS A PRINTED COPY OF AN ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT. PLEASE CHECK ITS VALIDITY BEFORE USE.

Synopsis Document No. SD-004-CR-0210 Study code SD-004-0210	(For national authority use only)
---	-----------------------------------

**PATIENTS**

	New version b.i.d.		Current version b.i.d.		Total
	80 µg	480 µg	100 µg	600 µg	
<b>No. of planned evaluable pts</b>	125	125	125	125	500
<b>No randomized and treated</b>	148	145	147	149	589
Females/Males	93/55	88/57	73/74	84/65	338/251
Mean age (range)	42.8 (19-68)	43.9 (18-74)	43.7 (18-73)	43.8 (19-70)	43.6 (18-74)
<b>Baseline values:</b>					
Inhaled GCS dose (µg/day)	885	888	889	904	892
FEV <sub>1</sub> (% of predicted)	69.2	69.7	70.1	69.0	69.5
Reversibility (%)	25.5	23.8	24.2	23.1	24.2
<b>No. analysed for efficacy</b>	148	145	147	149	589
<b>No. analysed for safety</b>					
<b>No. completed</b>	135	132	134	137	538

**SUMMARY - CONCLUSION(S)**

**- EFFICACY RESULTS**

The primary objective of the study was to compare the efficacy of budesonide delivered via Turbuhaler® CV and NV by means of their Relative Dose Potency, RDP. With morning PEF the estimated relative dose potency did not differ statistically significantly from 1. The RDP was estimated to 2, with 95% confidence intervall (0.7, 11.08). As the confidence intervall covers 1, there is no evidence of a difference in dose potency between the Turbuhaler devices. A difference in RDP could not be detected for any of the secondary variables. The dose response slope was statistically significantly different from 0 for all variables, i.e. a dose response was established. In the Table below the estimated contrasts from ANOVA for change in morning PEF are shown.

Parameter	Contrast	Mean	95% CL	P-value
Morning PEF (L/min)	NV 80 µg bid	-5.09	-12.49 - 2.31	
	NV 480 µg bid	8.73	1.21 - 16.26	
	CV 100 µg bid	1.21	-6.21 - 8.63	
	CV 600 µg bid	11.70	4.32 - 19.08	
	NV 80 µg bid vs CV 100 µg bid	-6.30	-16.12 - 3.52	0.2083
	NV 480 µg bid vs CV 600 µg bid	-2.97	-12.79 - 6.86	0.5531
	NV 480 µg bid vs NV 80 µg bid	13.82	3.96 - 23.69	0.0061
	CV 600 µg bid vs CV 100 µg bid	10.49	0.70 - 20.28	0.0358

Synopsis Document No. SD-004-CR-0210 Study code SD-004-0210	(For national authority use only)
---	-----------------------------------

**- SYSTEMIC ACTIVITY RESULTS**

The RDP for the plasma cortisol measurements was estimated in the same manner as for the efficacy variables. Again, the RDP did not differ statistically significantly from 1. In the Table below the estimated contrasts from ANOVA for P-cortisol (%of baseline) are shown.

Parameter	Contrast	Mean	95% CL	P-value
	NV 80 µg bid	102.31	92.92 - 112.65	
P-Cortisol	NV 480 µg bid	91.25	82.60 - 100.81	
(% of baseline)	CV 100 µg bid	95.88	87.13 - 105.51	
	CV 600 µg bid	88.23	80.32 - 96.93	
	NV 80 µg bid vs CV 100 µg bid	106.71	94.11 - 120.99	0.3106
	NV 480 µg bid vs CV 600 µg bid	103.42	91.29 - 117.16	0.5963
	NV 480 µg bid vs NV 80 µg bid	89.19	78.59 - 101.23	0.0766
	CV 600 µg bid vs CV 100 µg bid	92.03	81.31 - 104.16	0.1879

**- SAFETY RESULTS**

- The nature and frequency of AEs were similar between the different treatment groups.
- No deaths were reported during the study.
- All of the 12 SAEs reported during randomised treatment were considered unrelated to the investigational product. The SAEs were evenly distributed in the different treatment groups.
- Twenty-two patients discontinued treatment due to AE DUS deteriorated, and eight due to other AEs. The DAEs were similarly distributed between the treatment groups.

**DATE OF THE REPORT**

15 December, 2000