

### STUDY REPORT SUMMARY

#### ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS

**FINISHED PRODUCT:** PPIS **ACTIVE INGREDIENT:** PPIS

Study No: NIS-GRO-DUM-2009/1

A prospective, non-interventional study to evaluate the change in symptom scores and treatment response after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs in GERD patients in primary care setting in Romania, using the validated GerdQ questionnaire.

**Developmental Phase:** post marketing, non-interventional observational study

**Study Completion Date: 20.12.2010** 

**Date of Report:** 03.08.2011

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

### **Primary objective**

• To describe the change in GerdQ sum scores, after a 4-week period of systematic treatment with PPIs(GerdQ)

# Secondary objectives

- To measure the response at the current treatment after a 4-week period of systematic treatment with PPIs(GerdQ)
- To identify the percentage of patients which require alterations of their treatment after a 4-week period of systematic treatment with PPIs(GerdQ)

### **METHODS:**

This prospective, non-interventional, multicentre study included 952 patients with GERD.

The target population were patients:

- o known or newly diagnosed with GERD
- o typical symptoms of GERD (heartburn and regurgitation) (GerdQ sum score≥8 in absence of PPI treatment)

- o age≥18 years.
- o each patient must meet all of the inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria for this study. Under no circumstances can there be exceptions to this rule.

Observation duration was 4 weeks and the patients were treated with PPIs as per routine clinical practice or decision of treating physician.

# **VISIT PLAN**

	Visit 1  Day 0 (Baseline evaluation)	Visit 2 After 4 weeks
Informed Consent	X	
Visit date	X	X
GerdQ (completed by the patient)	X	X
Demography (initials, sex, date of birth)	X	
History of GERD	X	
Actual symptoms	X	X
Medication for GI symptoms at enrolment(including last week before enrolment) and during the study (except PPIs)	Х	X
PPIs	X	X
Adverse events	X	X

All information regarding eligible patients were entered by the physicians in a pCRF. The patients completed PROs questionnaires prospectively during V1 and V2. The administration of the PRO were carried out in the beginning of Visit1, after informed consent had been obtained.

PRO for the current study consists in GerdQ.

GerdQ has been developed to identify the patients with GERD and to monitor the response to treatment.

GerdQ questionnaire is a validated self-administered questionnaire consisting of 6 questions regarding the symptoms from the **preceding week (last 7 days)**: **4 are positive markers** for diagnose of GERD (box **A** and **C**) and **2 are negative markers**(box **B**). Scores ranging from **0-3** were applied for the positive predictors (from box **A** and **C**) and from **3 to 0** (reversed order, where 3=none) for negative predictors (box **B**). Gerd Q was calculated as a **sum of these scores** giving a total score ranging from **0-18**. **Interpretation of scores**:

# Identification of patients with GERD, without previous treatment:

Total score(boxes	Impact score(box C)	GERD probability
A+B+C)		
<7		Low probability
≥8	<2	High probability of
		GERD with
		symptoms depend on
		life style
≥8	≥3	High probability of
		GERD with recurrent
		symptoms

# Identification of necessity of treatment alteration in patients previously treated:

Any question from box A and	0 or 1	Treatment alteration not
C has the score		necessary
At least one question from	2 or 3	Treatment alteration
box A and C has the score		necessary

Spontaneous adverse events reporting followed routine pharmacovigilance practice during all study length.

#### **Inclusion criteria**

- Provision of informed consent
- Female or male, age  $\geq 18$
- Patients known or newly diagnosed with GERD or patients with typical symptoms
  of GERD- heartburn, regurgitation (in the last case, GerdQ sum score should be
  ≥8, in the absence of PPI treatment)

All eligible patients included in the study have received information in advance and have signed consent to grant access to the data collected with regard to their condition during the observation interval proposed

### **Exclusion criteria**

- Any symptoms at visit 1 suggesting a need for further investigation, judged by the Investigator (alarm symptoms).
- Previous participation in the present study

According to the guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of gastro-esophageal reflux disease, the alarm symptoms suggesting complicated disease are: dysphagia, odynophagia, bleeding, weight loss without intention or anemia

### Statistical methods

Data collection and processing has been followed by a simple descriptive statistical analysis. Due to the exploratory objectives of the study, there are no hypotheses to test with statistical methods to predetermine a needed sample size. The choice of target sample is pragmatic and based on patient addressability to selected physicians.

### **RESULTS:**

# **Subject population:**

Characteristics		Statistic n	Statistic %
Sex	Male	359	37.7
	Female	593	62.3
Age(years)	Mean	53.57	
	Median	55	
	SD	15.9	
	Minimum	18	
	Maximum	88	
<b>Duration of</b>	Mean	3.65	
disease(years)	Median	2.00	
	SD	4.10	
	Minimum	1	
	Maximum	40	
BRGE previously		532	55.9
diagnosed (no. of			
patients)			
BRGE newly		420	44.1
diagnosed(V1)(no. of			
patients)			

# **Efficacy results:**

The change in **symptoms scores**, after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs:

	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score	Score
	A(V1)	B(V1)	C(V1)	GerdQ(V1)	A(V2)	B(V2)	C(V2)	GerdQ(V2)
No.	951	951	951	951	951	951	951	951
patients								
Missing	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Mean	4.48	3.15	3.45	11.09	1.27	4.69	1.06	7.04
Median	5.00	3.00	3.00	11.00	1.00	5.00	1.00	7.00
SD	1.19	1.61	1.63	2.35	1.25	1.65	1.13	2.27

The total mean score GerdQ(A+B+C) has changed from **11.09** at enrolment(V1) to **7.04** at V2, after 4 weeks of treatment with PPIs.

# QoL-change in GerdQ scores at V2, at previously diagnosed patients:

	QoL V1	QoL V2
No. of patients	532	532
Missing	0	0
Mean	16.68	9.32
Median	17.00	8.00
Std. dev.	3.15	3.20
Range	16.00	20.70
Minimum	8.00	6.00
Maximum	24.00	26.70

# Paired Samples Test

	. allea campies rest								
	Paired Differences								
			Std.	Std. Error		nfidence I of the rence			Sig. (2-
		Mean	Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	tailed)
Pair	QoL1								
1	-	7.36147	3.97018	.17213	7.02333	7.69960	42.767	531	<0.001
	QoL2								

**Paired Samples Test –the difference of 7.3 points** between V1 and V2 shows that this difference is not random, at the patients previously diagnosed with GERD.

The change in symptoms scores, after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs, in patients with previously diagnosed GERD and in newly diagnosed patients:

	GERD present at enrolment(V1)		GERD newly diagnosed at V1	
	Score GerdQ V1	Score GerdQ V2	Score GerdQ V1	Score GerdQ V2
No. patients	532	532	418	418
Missing	0	0	1	1
Mean	11.08	7.30	11.10	6.71
Median	11.00	7.00	11.00	7.00
SD	2.41	2.25	2.27	2.27

The change in symptoms scores for heartburn("A" box, first question), after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs( How often did you experience heartburn in the last 7 days?):

	V1		V2	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
never	10	1.1	376	39.5
1 day	88	9.2	436	45.8
2-3 days	416	43.7	96	10.1
4-7 days	438	46.0	44	4.6
total	952	100.0	952	100.0

The % of patients which experience **heartburn>2 days** in the last 7 days has changed from **89.7%(854 patients) at V1** to **14.7(140 patients) at V2**, after 4 weeks of treatment with PPIs.

The change in symptom scores for **regurgitations("A" box, second question)**, after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs( **How often did you experience regurgitations in the last 7 days?):** 

	V1		V2	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
never	43	4.5	597	62.7
1 day	140	14.7	266	27.9
2-3 days	450	47.3	62	6.5
4-7 days	319	33.5	27	2.8
total	952	100.0	952	100.0

The % of patients which experience regurgitations>2days in the last 7 days has changed from 80.8%(769 patients) at V1 to 9.3%(89 patients) at V2, after 4 weeks of treatment with PPIs.

The change in symptom scores for sleep disturbances("C" box, first question), after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs( How often did you experience sleep disturbances in the last 7 days?):

	V1		V2	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
never	150	15.8	723	75.9
1 day	250	26.3	169	17.8
2-3 days	352	37.0	42	4.4
4-7 days	200	21.0	18	1.9
total	952	100.0	952	100.0

The % of patients which did not experience sleep disturbances in the last 7 days has changed from 15.8%(150 patients) at V1 to 75.9%(723 patients) at V2, after 4 weeks of treatment with PPIs.

The change in symptom scores for rescue medication( antiacides) ("C" box, second question), after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs( How often did you use rescue medicationantiacides in the last 7 days?):

	V1		V2	
	Frequency	%	Frequency	%
never	165	17.3	753	79.1
1 day	168	17.6	141	14.8
2-3 days	338	35.5	36	3.8
4-7 days	281	29.5	22	2.3
total	952	100.0	952	100.0

The % of patients which did not use rescue medication in the last 7 days has changed from 17.3%(165 patients) at V1 to 79.1%(753 patients) at V2, after 4 weeks of treatment with PPIs.

The % of patients which required alterations of their treatment after a 4-week period of systematic treatment with PPIs

	Frequency	%
yes	238	25.0
no	713	75.0
total	951	100.00
withdrawn	1	
total	952	

75 %(713 patients) did not require alterations of their treatment after 4 weeks of systematic treatment with PPIs.

**Safety results:** There were no AEs reported in the study. No deaths were reported.