SYNOPSIS 2

Name of Sponsor / Company: A•dæZ^}^&æ Name of Finished Product:	Individual Study Referring to Part of the Dossier	Table	(For National Authority Use only)
N.A.	Volume:		
Name of Active Ingredients: Aclidinium bromide	Page:		
Title of Stud y: A MULTIPLE D CONTROLLED, PARALLEL CLINICAL TWICE DAILY INHALED ACLIDINI UM TIOTROPIUM BROMIDE IN PATIENTS OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASI	OSE, DOUBLE-BL TRI AL TO ASSES BROMIDE 400 µg WITH STABLE E (COPD)	IND, S THE COMP/ MODEF	DOUBLE-DUMMY, PLACEBO EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ARED TO PLACEBO AND T C RATE TO SEVERE CHRO NIC
Investigators:			
Study sites: Á Á			
Publication (reference):			
Studied period (years):		Phase	of development: IIIb
Date study initiated (first screening): 17 O	ctober 2011		
Objectives:			
 To evaluate the 24-hour (h) bronchodilatory efficacy of inhaled aclidinium bromide 400 µg twice daily (BID) versus placebo in moderate to severe COPD patients. To evaluate the night-time bronchodilation of inhaled aclidinium bromide 400 µg BID versus tiotropium bromide in moderate to severe COPD patients. To assess the safety and tolerability of inhaled aclidinium bromide 400 µg BID in the same target population. 			
Methodology: This was a 6-week prospective, randomised, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo and active comparator controlled, parallel multicentre clinical study.			
The study consisted of a Screening Visit (Visit -1) conducted after signature of the informed consent form (ICF), where medical history and COPD severity stage (post-bron chodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second [FEV ₁] according to Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease [GOLD] guidelines) were assessed. P atients fulfilling inclusion/excl usion criteria at the time of the Screening Visit were ent ered into a run-in period of 14 to 21 days to assess disease stability and during this period, patients record ed their COPD symptoms daily. Patients who still met entry criteria at Visit 1 were assigned to on e of the 3 treatment arms (a clidinium bromide 400 μ g BID, tiotropium bromide 18 μ g once daily [QD] or placebo) according to a 2:2:1 randomisation ratio.			
During the 6-wee k double-blind treatr efficacy and safety on two occasions (I performed after 3 weeks of treatment treatment completion to monitor the st completed the study if they had un de complete the follow-up contact.	ment period, patier Day 1 [Visit 1] and E and a fo Ilow-up o afety of the patient rgone treatment up	nts visit Day 42 [contact s. Pati o through	ed the site to assess clinical Visit 2]). A phone contact was was performed 2 weeks afte ients were considered to have h Visit 2, even if they did not

Name of Sponsor / Company: A∙dæZ^} ^&æ	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)			
Name of Finished Product:	of the bossie				
N.A.	Volume:				
Name of Active Ingredients: Aclidinium bromide	Page:				
Number of patients (planned and anal	vsed):				
Planned: Approximately 625 patients w	vere planned to be screene	d to achieve a total number of			
405 randomised patients that is 162 r	patients to aclidinium bron	nide 400 ug 162 to tiotropium			
bromide 18 ug and 81 to placebo					
Screened: 485 patients					
Randomised: 414 patients					
Completed treatment: 400 patients					
Completed study: 400 patients					
Evaluated for safety: 414 patients					
Evaluated for efficacy (Intention-to-Treat	t [ITT] analysis): 414 patien	ts			
Evaluated for efficacy (Per-Protocol [PP]	analysis): 391 patients				
Diagnosis and main criteria for inclusio	n:				
• Adult male and female patients aged	≥40 years with stable moder	ate to severe COPD (as defined			
by the GOLD guidelines).	,	× ×			
 Post-salbutamol FEV₁ ≥30% and <80 	0% of predicted normal value	e and FEV ₁/forced vital capacity			
(FVC) <70%.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 Current or ex-smokers of ≥10 pack-vea 	ars.				
 Patients with no history or current diag 	inosis of asthma.				
 No signs of respiratory tract infection 	or COPD exacerbation within	n 6 weeks prior to the Screening			
Visit.					
 No evidence of clinically significant abnormalities. 	respi ratory and/or cardiova	ascular conditions or laboratory			
 No conditions which are contraindicate prostatic hypertrophy, bladder neck ob 	ed to use of anticholinergic di	rugs such as known symptomatic			
Patients previously included in prior studie	s with aclidinium bromide (ac	iministered as monotherapy or in			
combination) were allowed to be included	in this study.				
Test product, dose and mode of administration, batch number, expiry date:					
Name: Aclidinium bromide					
Administration route: Oral inhalation by Ge	enuair [®] multidose dry powder	inhaler			
Dosage form: Dry powder	manning (00,00 + 1h) and in t				
Dose and regimen: 1 put of 400 µg in the	morning (09:00 \pm 1n) and in 1	the evening $(21:00 \pm 1n)$.			
Balch humber. D2 Expiry date. De	cember 2013.				
The planned treatment duration for this s	study was 6 wooks				
Peteroneo therapy, dose and mode of	administration batch nu	mbor oxpiry data:			
Name: Tiotropium bromide	auministration, batch hu	libel, expliny date.			
Administration route: Oral inhalation by Ha	Name. Howopium promite Administration route: Oral inhalation by HandiHalor [®] single dass dry newder inhaler				
Desage form: Dry powder in a bard gelatin cancula					
Dose and regimen: 1 cansule (tiotronium bromide 18 μ a) in the morning (00.00 + 1b)					
Batch number: 060559 Expiry date	e: August 2012.	(00.00 ± 11).			
Name: Placebo to aclidinium bromide					
Administration route: Oral inhalation by Ge	enuair [®] multidose dry powder	inhaler			
Dosage form: Dry powder					
Dose and regimen: 1 puff of placebo in the	e morning (09:00 ± 1h) and in	the evening $(21:00 \pm 1h)$.			
Batch number: E1 Expiry date: De	cember 2013.				

Name of Sponsor / Company: Almirall, S.A	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product: N.A.	Volume:	
Name of Active Ingredients: Aclidinium bromide	Page:	
Reference therapy, dose and mode of (continued): Name: Placebo to tiotropium bromide Administration route: Oral inhalation by Ha Dosage form: Dry powder in a hard gelatin Dose and regimen: 1 capsule of placebo ir Batch number: 111F0255 Expiry d	administration, batch nui andiHaler [®] single-dose dry po capsule a the morning (09:00 ± 1h). late: August 2012.	mber, expiry date wder inhaler
Criteria for evaluation:		
 Efficacy: <u>Primary Efficacy Variable:</u> Change from baseline in normalise immediately after morning investigat 6 weeks of treatment. <u>Secondary Efficacy Variable:</u> Change from baseline in normalised 	sed FEV ₁ area under the ional medicinal product (IMF	curve over the 24-h period P) administration (AUC ₀₋₂₄) after a over the 1.2-h night-time period
(AUC ₁₂₋₂₄) after 6 weeks of treatmen	t.	s over the 12-11 hight-time period
 Additional Efficacy Variables: Pulmonary function (FEV₁ and FVC) a The use of relief medication and any c Daily COPD symptoms and any chang Percentage of patients who preferred willing to continue on each device. 	t Day 1 and after 6 weeks of hange in the percentage of re ge in the percentage of days v l one of the 2 devices and p	treatment. elief medication-free days. without daily COPD symptoms. percentage of patients who were
Safety: Safety assessments included eliciting pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) measu performed in females of childbearing poter	of adverse ev ents (AEs) a rements and physical exam ntial (results not presented in	ind serious AEs (SAE), blood inations. Pregnancy tests were this report).
Statistical methods: The analysis of the primary and secondar (i.e., patients who took at least 1 dose of II one post-baseline FEV_1 value were includ efficacy variables were also analysed usin from the ITT population.	ry efficacy variables were pe MP and had at least a baselin ed in the analysis). In add ng the PP population to asse	rformed using the ITT population ne FEV ₁ assessment and at least ition, the primary and secondary ss the robustness of the findings
Efficacy variables, except for time to peak willing to continue to use them, were ana treatment and sex as factors and corresp comparisons were tested using the approp squares (LS) means (adjusted means) and comparisons.	FEV ₁ and percentage of paily lysed by means of an analys bonding baseline and age as priate contrast in the ANCOV nd 95% confidence intervals	tients preferring each device and sis of covariance (ANCOVA) with s covariates. All betwee n-group 'A mod el. Between-groups least (CI) were given for all pairwi se
Time to peak FEV ₁ was analysed descript described and the percentage of patients binomial test. The mean differen ce in	ively. The percentage of pairs preferring Genuair® was consistent of the scores corresponding to "	tients preferring each device was ompared to 50% usi ng an exact willingness to contin ue" for the

A sensitivity analysis for the primary and secondary efficacy variables was also carried out by using a mixed model for repeated measures.

two devices was tested to be different from zero using a t-test.

Name of Sponsor / Company: A•dæZ^}^&æ	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product: N.A.	Volume:	
Name of Active Ingredients: Aclidinium bromide	Page:	

Statistical methods (continued):

All statistical comparisons were 2-sided hypothesis tests and the significance level was set at 0.05. All CI were 2-sided at the 95% confidence level. The primary and the 2 secondary comparisons were tested in a stepwise manner to control for multiplicity.

The primary comparison for AUC₀₋₂₄ was between aclidinium bromide 400 μ g BID and placebo. Other treatment comparisons (tiotropium bromide 18 μ g QD vs. placebo and aclidinium bromide 400 μ g BID vs. tiotropium bromide 18 μ g QD) we re considered additional. For AUC ₁₂₋₂₄, a hiera rchical testing approach was carried out with the comparison between aclidinium bromide 400 μ g BID and placebo in the first step and the comparison between aclidinium bromide 400 μ g BID and placebo in the second step. The other treatment comparison (tiotropium bromide 18 μ g QD vs. placebo) for AUC₁₂₋₂₄ was considered additional. No control for multiplicity was implemented.

All demographic and baseline characteristics, safety outcomes and other variables were analysed using summary statistics for the Safety population.

SUMMARY – CONCLUSIONS

Disposition:

A total of 485 patients were screened, of whom 414 patients were a ssessed as eligible and were randomised into the stud y. Overall, 400 (96.6%) of the rand omised patients completed the study. A total of 14 (3.4%) patients were discontinued from the study, mainly due to AEs (10 [2.4%] patients overall: 4 in the placebo group and 3 in each active treatment group).

Demographic and Baseline Characteristics:

Overall, the treatment groups were similar with respect to demographic and baseline characteristics, with the exception of a higher percentage of male patients compared to female patients in the aclidinium bromide (66.7%) and tiotropium bromide (73.4%) groups than in the placebo group (56.5%). The mean baseline FEV₁ value at Visit 1 was slightly numerically higher in the tiotropium bromide group (1.543 L) compared to the aclidini um bromide (1.462 L) and placebo (1.422 L) groups, however the mean baseline percentages of predicted FEV₁, which account for differences related to gender, were similar across the treatment groups (50.3% to 51.8%).

Name of Sponsor / Company:	Individual Study Table	(For National Authority Use
A∙dæZ^}^&æ	Referring to Part of the Dossier	only)
Name of Finished Product:		
N.A.	Volume:	
Name of Active Ingredients:	Page:	
Aclidinium bromide	_	
Efficacy Posults		

Efficacy Results:

The results of the statistical analyses of the changes from baseline in FEV₁ parameters are summarised in the following table:

		Differences in Adjusted Mean Changes from Baseline			
		Day 1		Week 6	
Variable	Comparison	AB 400 µg BID (N=171)	TB 18 μg QD (N=158)	AB 400 μg BID (N=171)	TB 18 μg QD (N=158)
FEV ₁ (L)					
Normalised	versus Placebo	0.156*	0.117*	0.150*	0.140*
AUC ₀₋₂₄	versus TB	0.040**		0.010	
Normalised	versus Placebo	0.168*	0.100*	0.160*	0.123*
AUC ₁₂₋₂₄	versus TB	0.067**		0.037	
Normalised	versus Placebo	0.149*	0.136*	0.138*	0.156*
AUC ₀₋₁₂	versus TB	0.013		-0.018	
Morning Pre-dose	versus Placebo	0.141*	0.093*	0.141*	0.102*
(trough)	versus TB	0.048**		0.038	
Evening Pre-dose	versus Placebo	0.147*	0.126*	0.125*	0.165*
(trough)	versus TB	0.020		-0.040	
Morning Peak	versus Placebo	0.154*	0.139*	0.180*	0.172*
	versus TB	0.014		0.008	
Evening Dook	versus Placebo	0.193*	0.112*	0.180*	0.155*
	versus TB	0.082**		0.025	

Study M/34273/39

AB=aclidinium bromide; AUC₀₋₁₂=area under the curve over the 12-h period immediately after morning IMP administration; AUC0.24=area under the curve over the 24-h period immediately after morning IMP administration; AUC12-24=area under the curve over the 12-h night-time period; BID=twice daily; FEV1=forced expiratory volume in 1 second; ITT=Intention-to-Treat; N=ITT population size; QD=once daily; TB=tiotropium bromide.

* Statistically significant versus placebo. ** Statistically significant versus TB. Statistical significance was declared if the p-value for the comparison was <0.05. For placebo, N=85.

Adjusted mean differences and p-values obtained from an analysis of covariance model with change from baseline in FEV1 variable as response, with treatment group and sex as factors and corresponding baseline and age as covariates.

Primary efficacy variable: Change from baseline in normalised FEV1 AUC0-24 at Week 6

After 6 weeks of treatment, aclidinium bromide 400 µg BID showed a statistically significantly greater increase in the adjusted mean change from baseline in normalised FEV₁ AUC₀₋₂₄ compared to placebo (0.150 L; p<0.0001) and a numerically greater increase in adjusted mean change from baseline in normalised $FEV_1 AUC_{0.24}$ compared to tiotropium bromide (0.010 L; p>0.05).

Secondary efficacy variable: Change from baseline in normalised FEV1 AUC12-24 at Week 6

After 6 weeks of treatment, aclidinium bromide 400 µg BID showed a statistically significantly greater increase in adjusted mean change from baseline in normalised FEV1 AUC12-24 compared to placebo (0.160 L; p<0.0001) and a numerically greater increase in adjusted mean change from baseline in normalised FEV₁ AUC₁₂₋₂₄ compared to tiotropium bromide (0.037 L; p>0.05).

Name of Sponsor / Company: A∙dæZ^}^&æ	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National Authority Use only)
Name of Finished Product: N.A.	Volume:	
Name of Active Ingredients: Aclidinium bromide	Page:	

Efficacy Results (continued):

Additional efficacy variables: Endpoints based on FEV₁ and FVC

At Day 1 and after 6 weeks of treatment, aclidinium bromide showed statistically significantly greater increases compared to place bo in the adjusted mean changes from baseline in all additional FEV 1 variables (AUC₀₋₁₂ at Week 6, AUCs at Day 1, morning and evening peak and pre-dose [trough] FEV₁ at both time points) and all FVC variables (AUCs, peak FVCs and pre-dose FVCs at both time points). Aclidinium bromide also showed numerically greater increases from baseline in most of the FEV₁ and FVC variables compared to tiotropium bromide, with statistically significant improvements in favour of aclidinium bromide in AUC₀₋₁₂, AUC₁₂₋₂₄, morning pre-dose values and evening peak values at Day 1 for both FEV₁ and FVC.

At all time points during the 24-ho ur observation period, a clidinium bromide showed statistically significantly greater increases in the adjusted mean change from baseline in FEV₁ values compared to placebo both at Day 1 and Week 6 (0.070 L to 0.202 L; p<0.0001 to p=0.0046). At Day 1, aclidinium bromide generally showed numerically greater increases from baseline in FEV₁ compared to tiotropium bromide throughout the 24-hour observation period, with statistical sig nificance at 13 to 23 hours post-dose (0.042 L to 0.092 L; p<0.0001 to p=0.0238). At Week 6, aclidinium bromide showed numerically greater increases from baseline in FEV₁ compared to to 2 hours and 13 to 24 hours post-dose (0.007 L to 0.047 L; p>0.05), while increases between 3 and 12 hours post-dose were numerically lower than tiotropium bromide (-0.005 L to -0.046 L; p>0.05).

Additional efficacy variables: Changes from baseline in the use of relief medication

Over 6 weeks of treatment, both aclidinium bromi de and tiotro pium bromide showed a statistically significantly greater increase from baseline in the percentage of relief medication-free days (24 hours without relief medication use) compared to placebo (9.6%; p=0.0229 and 8.9%; p=0.0366, respectively) and a numerically greater reduction from baseline in the use of daily relief medication compared to placebo (-0.4 puffs; p>0.05 for both active treatments).

Additional efficacy variables: Incidence and severity of COPD symptoms

Aclidinium bromide provided a consistent and greater improvement in COPD symptoms compared to placebo in most of t he symptomatic variables over 6 weeks of treatment, with statistically significantly greater i mprovements from baseline in daily E-RS scores (total score, Breathlessness, Cough & Sputum and Chest dom ains: -0.4 to -2.0; p<0.0001 to p=0.0026), the severity of morning symptoms (overall and by symptom: -0.14 to -0.22; p=0.0001 to 0.0356), the limitation of activity due to COPD symptoms (-0 .18; p=0.0016) and the severity of night-time symptoms (-0.14; p=0.0099). Moreover, aclidinium bromide also showed a statistically significant increase in the percen tage of days without morning symptoms compared to placebo (any symptoms, cough, whee ze and short ness of breath: 7.2% to 8.9%; p=0.0004 to 0.0213). The improvements in COPD symptoms observed in the tiotropium bromide group were numerically inferior to those observed for aclidinium bromide and were only statistically significantly greater than placebo for E-RS total, Breathlessness and Chest scores (-0.3 to -1.2; p=0.0094 to 0.0432), severity of any morning symptoms (-0.12; p=0.0320) and percentage of days without morning symptoms (5.6%; p-0.0291).

Name of Sponsor / Company:	Individual Study Table	(For National Authority Use
A Gaz > road	of the Dossier	only)
Name of Finished Product:		
N.A.	Volume:	
Name of Active Ingredients:	Page:	
Aclidinium bromide	_	
Efficiency Beculte (continued):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·

Efficacy Results (continued):

Additional efficacy variables: Device preference and willingness to continue

Over 6 weeks of t reatment, the maj ority of patients (80.1%) preferred the Genuair[®] inhaler to the HandiHaler[®] device. The overall mean "willingness to continue" score (based on a scale from 0 to 100) was higher for the Genuair[®] inhaler (88.8) than the Handi Haler[®] device (45.4), with statistical significance (p<0.0001) in favour of the Genuair[®] device.

Safety and Tolerability Results:

Overall, 28.0% of the patients reported at least one treatment-emergent AE (TEAE), with the lowes t incidence in the placebo group (25.9%) and the highest in the tiotropium bromide group (29.7%). The majority of TEAEs were mild or moderate in intensity. The percentage of patients who experienced severe TEAEs was low (<2.5%) and similar between all treatment groups, including placebo. None of the severe TEAEs reported during this study were considered related to the IMPs.

The most common TEAEs by PT were headache (5.1% of patients overall), nasopharyngitis (5.1%), COPD (exacerbation) (2.4%) and cough (2.2%). Headache was reported more fre quently in the aclidinium bromide group than the placebo group, while nasoph aryngitis was similarly reported across the active treatment groups and at a higher incidence than in the placebo group.

Of the patients with TEAEs, the majority (25.8%) had TEAEs which were considered not related to the IMP; 2.7% of patients had at least one IMP-related TEAE. The most common IMP-related TEAE was dry mouth, being reported in 3 patients (0.7%) overall (1 [0.6%] and 2 [1.3%] for the aclidinium bromide and tiotropium bromide groups, respectively). All ot her IMP-related TEAEs were reported in individual patients across all treatment groups.

No deaths occurred during this study and the percentage of patients experiencing treatment-emergent serious TEAEs was low ($\leq 2.5\%$ of patients) and was similar between the active treatments (aclidinium bromide and tiotropium bromide; no SAEs were reported for the placebo group). Overall, there were no trends in the type of SAEs reported during the study and none of the SAEs were considered related to the IMP.

The percentage of patients experiencing TEAEs leading to discontinuation was low (\leq 3.5% of patients) and was similar between all treatments, including placebo. Overall, the most common TEAE leading to discontinuation was exacerbation of COPD (6 patients [1.4%]), as per protocol requirement. None of the TEAEs leading to discontinuation were considered related to the IMP.

The incidence of cardiac, cerebrovascular and potential anticholinergic events was low (≤2 patients in any treatment group).

No clinically significant changes from baseline in BP and HR were observed after 6 weeks of treatment.

Name of Sponsor / Company: A•dæZ^}^&æ	Individual Study Table Referring to Part of the Dossier	(For National only)	Authority	Use
Name of Finished Product:				
N.A.	Volume:			
Name of Active Ingredients: Aclidinium bromide	Page:			
CONCLUSIONS:	I	I		
## ÁÁ				
<i>4</i>				
DATE OF REPORT:				
31 August 2012				