

<p>Reference Therapy, Dose and Mode of Administration, Batch Number: Blinded placebo was administered once in the morning and once in the evening, via inhalation through the Pressair inhaler; Lot #DPI022</p>
<p>Duration of Treatment: Patients received double-blind investigational product for 8 weeks (2 weeks per treatment regimen) with a 7- to 10-day washout period between each treatment period. Total treatment period: 3 months</p>
<p>Criteria for Evaluation: <i>Efficacy:</i> Primary: Change from baseline in normalized FEV₁ area under the curve over the 12 hours (AUC₀₋₁₂) after the morning dose of investigational product at Day 14 Secondary: Change from baseline in morning predose (trough) FEV₁ at Day 14 and change from baseline in morning peak FEV₁ at Day 14 Additional: Pulmonary function tests (FEV₁ AUC₀₋₃, FVC AUC₀₋₃, FVC AUC₀₋₁₂, FEV₁, FVC, inspiratory capacity [IC] at peak, trough, and by time point); COPD symptom scores; and rescue medication use. <i>Safety</i> Adverse event recording, clinical laboratory assessments, vital sign parameters, electrocardiograms, Holter monitoring, and physical examinations</p>
<p>Statistical Methods: Demographics and Other Baseline Characteristics: Demographic parameters and other baseline characteristics were summarized overall for the Safety Population. No statistical tests were performed. Efficacy: All efficacy analyses were based on the ITT Population using the observed-case approach. The primary efficacy parameter (change from baseline in FEV₁ AUC₀₋₁₂ at Day 14) was analyzed by mean of a mixed-effects model for repeated measures (MMRM) with treatment and period as fixed effects, subject as a random effect, and baseline values at each period as covariates. The primary efficacy parameter was also analyzed using the same MMRM based on the Per-Protocol Population to assess the robustness of the findings from the ITT Population. An additional sensitivity analysis was performed on the change from baseline of Period 1 in FEV₁ AUC₀₋₁₂ at Day 14 and the change from baseline of Period 1 in morning predose FEV₁ at Day 14 using the same MMRM based on the ITT Population. Safety: All safety parameters were analyzed descriptively based on the Safety Population.</p>
<p>SUMMARY OF RESULTS Disposition: A total of 225 patients were screened for eligibility, and 128 were randomized to a study treatment sequence. The percentage of randomized patients who completed the study was 81.3% (104/128). Demographic and Physical Characteristics: The average patient was approximately 61.5 years of age; the majority of patients were Caucasian (93.8%), female (57%), and non-Hispanic (96.1%). For patients in the ITT/Safety Population, the average weight was 80.1 kg, the average height was 168 cm, and the average body mass index was 28.2 kg/m². Mean COPD duration was 8.6 years. The majority (55.5%) of patients were current smokers. Mean smoking duration for all patients was approximately 40 years with a range of 20 to 63 years. Efficacy Results: Primary Efficacy Parameter: Change from baseline in normalized FEV₁ AUC₀₋₁₂ measured over the 12 hours after the morning dose of investigational product at Day 14 The FDCs of acclidinium/formoterol 400/12 µg and acclidinium/formoterol 400/6 µg and their monotherapy components (acclidinium 400 µg and formoterol 12 µg) were statistically significant (p < 0.0001) versus placebo in change from baseline in normalized FEV₁ AUC₀₋₁₂ at Day 14. The changes from baseline in normalized FEV₁ AUC₀₋₁₂ compared with placebo were 0.200 L and 0.202 L for FDCs 400/12 µg and 400/6 µg, respectively, and were 0.157 L and 0.127 L for acclidinium 400 µg and formoterol 12 µg, respectively. FDC 400/6 µg was statistically significant versus acclidinium 400 µg (p = 0.0437) and formoterol 12 µg (p = 0.0011). FDC 400/12 µg was statistically significant versus formoterol 12 µg (p = 0.0015) and approached statistical significance in FEV₁ change from baseline over acclidinium 400 µg (p = 0.0540).</p>

<i>Treatment (A)</i>	<i>Treatment (B)</i>	<i>Least Squares Mean Difference, L A-B (SE)</i>	<i>95% CI for the Difference (Lower, Upper)</i>	<i>p-Value</i>
FDC (µg) Versus Placebo				
FDC 400/12	Placebo	0.200 (0.022)	(0.156, 0.245)	< 0.0001
FDC 400/6	Placebo	0.202 (0.022)	(0.158, 0.245)	< 0.0001
FDC (µg) Versus Monotherapy (µg)				
FDC 400/12	Acclidinium bromide 400	0.043 (0.022)	(0.001, 0.087)	0.0540
FDC 400/6	Acclidinium bromide 400	0.045 (0.022)	(0.001, 0.088)	0.0437
FDC 400/12	Formoterol fumarate 12	0.074 (0.023)	(0.029, 0.119)	0.0015
FDC 400/6	Formoterol fumarate 12	0.075 (0.023)	(0.030, 0.120)	0.0011
Monotherapy (µg) Versus Placebo				
Acclidinium bromide 400	Placebo	0.157 (0.022)	(0.113, 0.201)	< 0.0001
Formoterol fumarate 12	Placebo	0.127 (0.023)	(0.082, 0.172)	< 0.0001
Secondary Efficacy Parameters:				
(1) Change from baseline in morning predose FEV₁ at Day 14				
<p>As with the primary efficacy parameter, all 4 active treatments were statistically significant ($p \leq 0.0017$) versus placebo in change from baseline in morning predose FEV₁. The changes from baseline compared with placebo were 0.132 L and 0.137 L for FDC 400/12 and FDC 400/6 µg, respectively, and were 0.088 L and 0.079 L for acclidinium 400 µg and formoterol 12 µg, respectively. The FDCs were also statistically significant versus their components in increasing morning predose FEV₁ over baseline. The change from baseline was statistically significant for both FDCs compared with formoterol 12 µg (0.053 L [$p = 0.0381$] for FDC 400/12 µg and 0.057 L [$p = 0.0218$] for FDC 400/6 µg). Compared with acclidinium 400 µg, FDC 400/6 µg was statistically significant (0.049 L [$p = 0.0480$]) in change from baseline. FDC 400/12 µg did not reach statistical significance (0.044 L [$p = 0.0792$]) compared with acclidinium 400 µg.</p>				
(2) Change from baseline in morning peak FEV₁ at Day 14				
<p>Again, all 4 active treatments were statistically significantly higher ($p < 0.0001$) compared to placebo in change from baseline in morning peak FEV₁ at Day 14. Compared with placebo, the changes from baseline were 0.281 L and 0.275 L for FDC 400/12 and FDC 400/6 µg respectively, and were 0.187 L and 0.171 L for acclidinium 400 µg and formoterol 12 µg, respectively. Both FDCs were also statistically significant versus their monotherapy components (0.095 mL [$p = 0.0003$] for FDC 400/12 and 0.088 L [$p = 0.0006$] for FDC 400/6 µg compared with acclidinium and 0.110 L [$p < 0.0001$] for FDC 400/12 µg and 0.103 L [$p < 0.0001$] for FDC 400/6 µg compared with formoterol) in change from baseline in morning peak FEV₁ at Day 14.</p>				
Additional Efficacy Parameters				
<p>Consistent with the primary and secondary efficacy parameters, both FDCs showed statistically significant changes from baseline versus both placebo and their monotherapy components for the majority of assessments for the additional efficacy parameters.</p>				
<p>Additional efficacy parameters were measurements of change from baseline in normalized FEV₁ AUC₀₋₃ after morning investigational product administration at Day 1 and Day 14, change from baseline in normalized FVC AUC₀₋₁₂ after morning investigational product administration at Day 14; changes from baseline in the following: morning predose FVC at Day 14; morning predose IC at Day 14; morning peak FEV₁ at Day 1; morning peak FVC at Days 1 and 14; FEV₁ and FVC at each specific time point at Days 1 and 14; and IC at 3 hours after morning investigational product administration at Days 1 and 14; COPD symptoms; and rescue medication use. Consistent with the primary and secondary objectives, the FDCs of acclidinium and formoterol were statistically significant versus both placebo and to 1 or both monotherapy components for the majority of the time points for each spirometric evaluation. Assessments of COPD symptom scores (nocturnal, cough and breathlessness symptom scores) during the first week and the second week of treatment, and during the overall treatment period also showed statistically significant reductions in the majority of symptom scores for both FDCs compared with placebo at most time points.</p>				

Safety Results:					
Treatment with FDCs of acclidinium and formoterol and with their monotherapy components (400 µg for acclidinium and 12 µg for formoterol) was generally well tolerated, with a comparable safety profile across all treatments. Treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and laboratory and electrocardiographic abnormalities that occurred were not unexpected in this population of patients ≥ 40 to 80 years of age with moderate to severe COPD.					
Overall, a higher proportion of patients receiving active treatments than of patients receiving placebo treatment reported any TEAE: 19.5%-29.3% during active treatments versus 9.8% during placebo treatment. Additionally, formoterol 12 µg monotherapy was associated with a higher incidence of TEAEs (29.3%) than the FDCs (19.5% for FDC 400/12 µg and 22.0% for FDC 400/6 µg). The large majority of the TEAEs were mild in severity, and only 7 were considered severe: 4 (14.3%) during treatment with FDC 400/12 µg and 3 (8.8%) during treatment with acclidinium 400 µg. The 4 severe TEAEs during FDC 400/12 µg treatment occurred in 2 patients and were bronchitis, hypoglycemia, and COPD in 1 patient and COPD in the second patient. The 3 severe TEAEs during acclidinium 400 µg treatment were in 1 patient and were metastases to spine, metastatic renal cell carcinoma, and spinal laminectomy. Most TEAEs were considered not related to treatment by the Investigator. Overall, 3 (21.4%) of the TEAEs during placebo treatment, 10 (35.7%) during FDC 400/12-µg treatment, 8 (30.8%) during FDC 400/6-µg treatment, 10 (29.4%) during acclidinium 400 µg treatment, and 6 (16.2%) during formoterol 12 µg treatment were considered possibly or probably related to treatment.					
Number (%) of Patients With Adverse Events Occurring in at Least 2% of Patients During Any Treatment by Preferred Term					
<i>Treatments</i>	<i>Number (%) of Patients</i>				
	<i>Placebo (N = 92)</i>	<i>Acclidinium/Formoterol</i>		<i>Acclidinium 400 µg (N = 94)</i>	<i>Formoterol 12 µg (N = 92)</i>
		<i>400/12 µg (N = 87)</i>	<i>400/6 µg (N = 91)</i>		
Any TEAE	9 (9.8)	17 (19.5)	20 (22.0)	19 (20.2)	27 (29.3)
Preferred Term (Incidence ≥ 2%)					
Abdominal Pain	0	0	0	1 (1.1)	2 (2.2)
Nausea	0	0	0	3 (3.2)	1 (1.1)
Oedema peripheral	1 (1.1)	0	1 (1.1)	2 (2.1)	0
Bronchitis	0	2 (2.3)	0	0	0
Nasopharyngitis	0	1 (1.1)	3 (3.3)	0	1 (1.1)
Upper respiratory tract infection	1 (1.1)	0	0	2 (2.1)	2 (2.2)
Urinary tract infection	0	0	0	1 (1.1)	2 (2.2)
Hypoglycaemia	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	0	1 (1.1)	3 (3.3)
Hyperkalaemia	0	1 (1.1)	0	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)
Headache	1 (1.1)	0	1 (1.1)	0	2 (2.2)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	1 (1.1)	2 (2.3)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)	4 (4.3)
Hypertension	1 (1.1)	0	1 (1.1)	0	2 (2.2)
TEAE = treatment-emergent adverse event.					

No deaths were reported during the study. The incidence of serious adverse events (SAEs) was low. Four patients had a total of 6 SAEs: 2 (2.3%) of the 87 patients during treatment with FDC 400/12 µg, 1 (1.1%) of the 94 patients during treatment with aclidinium 400 µg, and 1 (1.1%) of the 92 patients during treatment with formoterol 12 µg. One patient during treatment with FDC 400/12 µg had 3 SAEs: hypoglycemia, acute renal failure, and COPD. The COPD in this patient was considered related to treatment by the investigator, as was the SAE of COPD in 1 other patient during FDC 400/12 µg treatment. None of the other SAEs were considered to be related to treatment. Nine patients, all receiving active treatments, discontinued treatment because of TEAEs. One other patient discontinued because of a TEAE that had begun at baseline before treatment.

Few patients in any treatment sequence reported possible anticholinergic and/or β-adrenergic TEAEs. Overall, the monotherapy treatments had a slightly higher incidence of possible anticholinergic and/or β-adrenergic TEAEs during the study compared with the placebo and the FDC treatments.

A number of laboratory abnormalities were noted both at study entry and at the final evaluation, but these were not considered clinically relevant. Changes in fasting glucose and potassium, which were assessed at each visit, appeared to be minimal and comparable across treatments. Changes in vital signs also did not appear to be associated with any treatment. Only 1 patient (FDC 400/12 µg) had a postbaseline electrocardiographic abnormality that was determined to be clinically significant. This patient had frequent ventricular premature complexes at Visit 13, including an 8-beat run of supraventricular tachycardia.

Although a number of abnormalities were noted on the 12-lead Holter monitoring assessments, the overall analysis of the data did not show that active treatments were associated with a higher incidence of abnormal changes than placebo treatment.

Conclusions:

[Redacted text block]

Date of the Report: 05 Mar 2012